FREE STATE PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE

==========

INTERNAL QUESTION PAPER

==========

Questions for Written Replies

Wednesday, 18 November 2026

355.Hon. A Cloete (VF+) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for CSRT: Mr. J Mbalula.

With reference to the response to Question 896 dated 26 May 2026, in which it was confirmed that the Bothaville weighbridge on the R30 has been vandalised beyond economic repair, that only the walls remain, and that no security has been observed at the site,

1. On what date did the Department become aware that the facility had been vandalised beyond economic repair, and for how long has it been non-operational,
2. What alternative measures are currently being used by Traffic Management to monitor and enforce overloading on the R30 in the Bothaville area,
3. How many heavy vehicles have been weighed and how many overload offences detected in the Bothaville/R30 area during the past three financial years,
4. What engagements have taken place between the Department and DPWI regarding the restoration or replacement of the weighbridge, and what was agreed,
5. Does the Department intend to restore or replace the facility, and if so, what is the estimated cost, funding source and timeframe,
6. What security measures are currently in place to protect the remaining public infrastructure from further vandalism,
7. If the weighbridge will not be restored or replaced, what alternative measures will be implemented to ensure effective overload enforcement on the R30, and by what date will these measures be operational?

356.Hon. A Cloete (VF+) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for ARDEA: Ms. E Rockman.

Complaints have been received regarding illegal dumping by members of the public along the road leading to the Glen dumping site, with reports that the road has become impassable and residents are unable to access their homes,

1. Is the dumping site at Glen under the jurisdiction and management of DARD, or is it a municipal dumping site,
2. If the site falls under DARD, has the Department received complaints regarding illegal dumping and the resulting obstruction of the road and access to residents' homes,
3. What action has been taken to address the illegal dumping and restore access to the affected residents,
4. What measures are in place to prevent further illegal dumping along the road leading to the site,
5. If the matter falls under the municipality, has DARD engaged with the relevant municipality regarding the condition of the road and access to affected residents, and what was the outcome,
6. What immediate and longer-term measures are planned to ensure that the road remains accessible to residents and that illegal dumping is effectively addressed?

357.Hon. A Cloete (VF+) asks the Hon. Premier: Ms. M Letsoha-Mathae.

1. Has the Free State Provincial Government established the required Restriction Committee to identify and process the blacklisting of non-performing contractors,
2. How many contractors whose contracts were terminated due to non-delivery, abandonment of projects or failure to meet contractual obligations have been referred for restriction during the past five financial years,
3. How many of these contractors have been successfully restricted from conducting business with the state,
4. What measures are in place to ensure that contractors whose contracts have been terminated for non-performance cannot simply secure new contracts with other provincial departments or municipalities?

358. Hon. R Jankielsohn (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for CSRT: Mr. J Mbalula.

In view of the murder of Councillor Thulani Mbana in Zamdela in October 2026:

1. Has any progress been made regarding the investigation?
2. If not, why not?
3. If so, what are the relevant details?

359. Hon. R Jankielsohn (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for CSRT: Mr. J Mbalula.

In view of the murder of Councillor Moshe Lefuma in QwaQwa in November 2024:

1. Has any progress been made regarding the investigation?
2. If not, why not?
3. If so, what are the relevant details?

360. Hon. R Jankielsohn (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for CSRT: Mr. J Mbalula.

In view of the illegal occupation of Platberg Nature Reserve in Harrismith, which is municipally owned:

1. Have the SAPS been requested to implement any eviction orders in this matter?
2. If so, when and what action has been taken to carry such orders out?

361. Hon. D Leech (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for CSRT: Mr. J Mbalula.

In view of the R26 between Hobhouse and Ladybrand, and the Portfolio Committee feedback of 30 July 2026 indicating that the road is scheduled for special rehabilitation:
1. Has the Department made provision for the special rehabilitation of the R26, and has the reported R60 million been budgeted for this project? If not, why not?
2. According to the Committee feedback, the Department was awaiting Auditor-General approval to advertise the contract. Has this approval been obtained? If not, what is the reason for the delay? If so, when was it obtained?
3. Has the Department complied with all the Auditor-General's requirements? If so, on what date was compliance confirmed? If not, why not?
4. When will the contract for the rehabilitation of the R26 be advertised, and when is the contract expected to be awarded?
5. What is the estimated date for construction and rehabilitation work to commence on the R26?

362. Hon. D Leech (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for CSRT: Mr. J Mbalula.

In view of the special rehabilitation of the R59 bridge outside Viljoenskroon, and the Committee feedback of 30 July 2026:
1. Has the Department terminated the current contract for the rehabilitation of the bridge? If so, what were the reasons for the termination, and will the termination and circumstances surrounding it be investigated by the Auditor-General, as recommended and approved by the House?
2. According to the Committee report, a new contract was submitted to Provincial Treasury for advertisement. Has a new contractor subsequently been appointed? If so, who is the contractor and when was the contract awarded?

3. Has the scope of work for the new contract been adjusted to provide for raising the road and installing the necessary culverts to prevent future flooding?
4. Have the necessary safety measures been put in place to prevent vehicles from leaving the road and crashing into the water? If not, what interim measures are in place?
5. What is the total amount budgeted for the rehabilitation of the R59 bridge, and how much has been spent or committed to date?
6. Has the previous contractor been reported to National Treasury for any applicable procurement or performance-related transgressions? If so, what action has been taken?

363.Hon. D Leech (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for CSRT: Mr. J Mbalula.

In view of complaints received regarding the poor condition of the R549 between Sasolburg and Deneysville, particularly the numerous potholes along this stretch of road:
1. Is the Department aware of the condition of the R549 between Sasolburg and Deneysville, and has an assessment of the road been conducted? If so, what were the findings?
2. When was the road last inspected, and what measures have been taken to address the potholes?
3. What is the estimated cost of repairing the potholes and restoring the road to an acceptable condition?
4. Has funding been allocated for the maintenance and rehabilitation of this road? If so, (a) what amount has been allocated, (b) from which budget, and (c) how much has been spent to date?
5. Has a contractor been appointed to undertake repairs on this stretch of road? If so, (a) who is the appointed contractor, (b) what is the value of the contract, and (c) what is the expected completion date?
6. If no repairs have been scheduled, what are the reasons for the delay, and when does the Department intend to address the matter?
7. What interim measures will the Department implement to ensure the safety of motorists using this road while awaiting permanent repairs?

364.Hon. W Pretorius (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for COGTA&HS: Mr. T Mokoena.

In view of the continued use of the bucket sanitation system in Botshabelo, within the Mangaung Metro Municipality (MMM):
1. How many households in Botshabelo are currently still dependent on the bucket sanitation system?
2. Which specific sections, extensions or areas of Botshabelo are still affected by the continued use of the bucket sanitation system?
3. What plans does the Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality have in place to eradicate the bucket sanitation system and provide affected households with access to adequate and dignified sanitation services?
4. What is the municipality's projected timeframe for the complete eradication of the bucket sanitation system in Botshabelo, including the anticipated commencement and completion dates of the relevant interventions?
5. What is the total annual cost incurred by the Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality for the operation, collection, transportation, disposal and maintenance of the current bucket sanitation system in Botshabelo?
6. What budget has been allocated for the current financial year towards eradicating the bucket sanitation system in Botshabelo, and how much of this allocation has been spent to date?
7. Has the provincial department engaged with the Mangaung Metropolitan Municipality regarding the eradication of the bucket sanitation system in Botshabelo? If so, what progress has been made, and what commitments have been secured from the municipality?
8. If no formal eradication plan is currently in place, what steps will the department take to ensure that affected households are provided with access to dignified sanitation services?

365.Hon. W Pretorius (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for Education: Dr. M Maboya.

In view of the continued closure of the Oranjekrag Hostel in Gariepdam, which was completed on 12 December 2024:
1. What are the specific reasons the Oranjekrag Hostel has not yet been opened to learners, despite its completion in December 2024 and the initial planned opening date of 3 July 2025?

2. What is the current status of the borehole project intended to provide water to the hostel and school:
   a. When did the drilling of the borehole commence?
   b. Has the borehole been completed and tested?
   c. Is the borehole capable of meeting the daily water requirements of both the school and hostel?
3. What staffing requirements must still be met before the hostel can become operational, how many positions remain vacant, and when will these positions be filled?
4. What is the total additional expenditure incurred by the Department since the completion of the hostel on 12 December 2024, including security, maintenance, utilities and any other operational costs, while the facility has remained closed? Provide a detailed costs to date
5. Has the Department conducted an assessment of any deterioration, damage or maintenance requirements arising from the prolonged period during which the completed facility has remained unoccupied? If so, what were the findings and the estimated costs of addressing these issues?
6. Given that the hostel has accommodation capacity for 200 learners, how many learners from rural and surrounding communities currently require hostel accommodation, and how many of these learners are being accommodated elsewhere due to the facility remaining closed?
7. What is the Department's revised and definitive date for opening the Oranjekrag Hostel and admitting learners?
8. Does the department have a detailed implementation plan, with specific deadlines, outlining all outstanding work and administrative requirements that must be completed before the hostel can become fully operational?

366.Hon. W Pretorius (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for Health: Mr. M Mahlatsi.

In view of the recurring water outages at Health Facilities in Zastron due to the failure of the Mohokare Local Municipality (MLM) to ensure the consistent water supply:
1. What measures has the Department implemented to ensure a reliable and uninterrupted water supply at all public healthcare facilities within the Mohokare Local Municipality (MLM), if there is none, why not?
2. Which healthcare facilities within Mohokare Local Municipality have experienced water supply interruptions during the 2025/2026 and current 2026/2027 financial years, and how many incidents have been recorded at each facility?
3. What contingency measures are in place to ensure that healthcare facilities, particularly the Matlakeng Clinic in Zastron, remain fully operational during prolonged water outages?
4. Does the Department have alternative water supply arrangements, such as water tankers, JoJo tanks or boreholes, at healthcare facilities? If so, please provide details of these arrangements.
5. Has the Department formally engaged the Mohokare Local Municipality regarding its failure to ensure consistent water delivery to healthcare facilities? If so, what commitments and corrective measures have been agreed upon?
6. What is the total expenditure incurred by the Department during the 2025/2026 and 2026/2027 financial years to secure alternative water supplies for healthcare facilities in Mohokare Local Municipality?
7. What additional interventions does the Department intend implementing to prevent recurring water shortages from disrupting healthcare services and compromising patient health, hygiene and infection prevention and control standards?

367.Hon. D Masoeu (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for Health: Mr. M Mahlatsi.

With regards to the Free State Psychiatric Complex hospital in Bloemfontein:
1. Is the Department aware of deteriorating infrastructure regarding buildings at the FSPC Hospital?
2. Does the Department have planned maintenance for this facility for the current financial year? If so, (a) when is the plan going to be implemented and (b) what is the amount budgeted for this?

368.Hon. D Masoeu (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for Health: Mr. M Mahlatsi.

With regards to the Bloemfontein government laundry:
1. Is the Bloemfontein laundry closed for operations?

2. If so, (a) is the closure as a result of prohibition by the Department of Labour and (b) when did it close?
3. If closed by the Department of Labour, what are the reasons and what steps are being taken to resolve these concerns?
4. Does the Department have maintenance and repairs plan for the current financial year? If so, (a) please provide the plan and (b) what is the budgeted amount?
5. When will the Department commence with the project?

369. Hon. D Masoeu (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for DSD: Ms. N Hlalele.

With regards to the payment of grants to NPOs:
1. Has the Department paid all NPOs on the database? If not, why not and which NPOs were not paid?
2. What amounts were received per NPO?
3. Per NPO not paid, why not?
4. Have the reasons in (3) been communicated to the relevant NPOs? If not, why not?

370. Hon. D van Vuuren (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for CSRT: Mr. J Mbalula.

In view of the official opening ceremony of the R57 between Sasolburg and Heilbron, as well as the continued closure of the Heilbron Traffic Department:
1. What was the total cost of the official opening ceremony, broken down per line item, including catering, transport, accommodation, venue hire and other related expenses, and who were the respective service providers?
2. Who authorised the event at (a) political and (b) administrative levels, and what was the motivation for holding an official opening ceremony several months after the road had already been opened to traffic?
3. From which budget was the event funded, and did all expenditure comply with the applicable procurement and supply chain management procedures?
4. In view of the fact that the Heilbron Traffic Department has reportedly been closed for almost three years:
   a. What are the reasons for its continued closure?
   b. What steps have been taken to restore its services?
   c. When will the facility be fully operational again?
5. What financial allocations have been made towards reopening and maintaining the Heilbron Traffic Department, and how much has been spent to date?
6. Which officials or entities are responsible for resolving the issues preventing the reopening of the traffic department, and what remedial steps have been taken to address the delays?
7. Why has the Department been unable to restore essential traffic services in Heilbron despite the prolonged period of closure, and what is the MEC's plan and timeframe for resolving this matter?

371. Hon. D van Vuuren (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for CSRT: Mr. J Mbalula.

In view of allegations that 650 beneficiaries of the Provincial Pothole Eradication Programme (PEP) have not received payments due to them, despite a CCMA settlement agreement reportedly reached on 30 September 2024:
1. What is the total amount outstanding to the 650 beneficiaries, reportedly calculated at R27,000 per beneficiary, and has the Department verified these outstanding amounts?
2. Why has the Department failed to implement the CCMA settlement agreement, and what reasons have been provided for the delay in payment?
3. Who authorised the settlement agreement on behalf of the Department, and which officials are responsible for ensuring its implementation?
4. Has the Department made any financial provision for the settlement payments? If so, (a) what amount has been budgeted, (b) from which budget will the payments be made, and (c) when will the beneficiaries receive payment?
5. What role did CUT Civilab play in the administration and payment of the Pothole Eradication Programme beneficiaries, and which entity is ultimately responsible for settling the outstanding amounts?

6. What steps has the Department taken to comply with the CCMA settlement agreement, and has any legal advice been obtained regarding the Department's alleged non-compliance?
7. What measures will the MEC implement to ensure that all outstanding payments are settled, and by what date will the 650 beneficiaries receive their payments?

372. Hon. J Mokoena. (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for Education: Dr. M Maboya.

In light of school governing bodies across the Free State:

1. Are all SGBs across the province constituted and compliant with the requirements of the South African Schools Act? If not, which are non-compliant?
2. Are all SGB members subjected to the necessary vetting processes, and how does the Department ensure compliance with these requirements? If not, why not?
3. How many SGBs across the province are currently classified as dysfunctional or partially functional? Please provide a breakdown per district and school, the reasons for their dysfunctionality and the corrective measures being implemented to restore their functionality.
4. What measures does the Department have in place to ensure that SGB members are adequately equipped with the necessary knowledge and skills to fulfil their governance responsibilities? Please provide details of training programmes, capacity-building initiatives, the number of members trained per district and how the effectiveness of such interventions is assessed.
5. How does the Department monitor and assess the functionality and performance of SGBs across the province? Please provide details of the monitoring mechanisms, frequency of assessments, officials responsible and interventions implemented where shortcomings are identified.
6. What measures does the Department take when an SGB or its individual members fail to fulfil their statutory responsibilities? Please provide details of how many SGBs have been subjected to departmental intervention, had specific functions withdrawn or been dissolved during the current financial year, and what were the reasons for these interventions?

373. Hon. J Mokoena (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for Education: Dr. M Maboya.

In light of the continued use of temporary mobile classrooms by Ouma Tsopo Primary School in Hani Park, Welkom:

1. When is the Department scheduled to commence construction of Ouma Tsopo Primary School, as identified in the 2025 State of the Province Address as one of the seven schools planned for construction commencement during the 2025/26 financial year? Please provide the anticipated commencement date, projected completion date and reasons for any delays.
2. Will the permanent school be constructed on the site where the school is currently located in Hani Park? If so, has the Department conducted the necessary land assessments and geotechnical investigations to establish whether the site is safe and suitable for school construction? If not, where is the proposed construction site, and what informed the selection of that location?
3. How many learners is the current school designed to accommodate, and how many learners are currently enrolled at Ouma Tsopo Primary School?
4. Has the Department considered projected learner enrollment growth to ensure that the new school will have sufficient capacity to accommodate future demand?
5. Has the Department conducted any maintenance, repairs or refurbishment of the mobile classrooms currently being used by the school to ensure that they remain safe and suitable for teaching and learning? If so, when was the maintenance last conducted, what was the scope of work undertaken, how much was spent on the maintenance and Which service provider or entity was responsible for carrying out the work? If no maintenance has been conducted, what are the reasons for the Department's failure to maintain these facilities?
6. Has the Department allocated funding for the construction of Ouma Tsopo Primary School? If so, what is the allocated budget, which financial year will the expenditure take place, and what is the current status of the project's planning, procurement and implementation? If not, why not?

7. What measures has the Department put in place to ensure that construction delays do not further compromise the quality of teaching and learning at Ouma Tsopo Primary School while learners and educators continue to rely on temporary facilities?

374.Hon. D McKay (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for COGTA&HS: Mr. T Mokoena.

Regarding the water crisis in the Matjhabeng Local Municipality:
1. What is the Department's latest verified assessment of the condition of Matjhabeng's bulk water pipelines, reservoirs, pump stations, treatment works and distribution network, and which assets pose the most immediate risk of failure? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and when will it be completed?
2. Which specific infrastructure repairs or replacements will be prioritised in the next 30, 90 and 180 days, and in which communities or wards? Please specify the action to be taken and the area by name.
3. What proportion of treated water is currently being lost through leaks, bursts, faulty valves and other network failures? What targeted programme is funded to reduce these losses? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and by when will it be done?
4. What is the estimated cost of the water infrastructure maintenance backlog, and how much of that amount has been secured or committed, and how much remains unfunded? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and by when will it be done?
5. What is the current physical and financial progress on the Virginia–Meloding bulk pipeline, the Vaal Central pipe and meter replacement project, the Thabong upgrades, and other critical water projects? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and when will it be done?
6. What are the principal causes of delays in water and sanitation projects, including late project registration, procurement processes, contractor performance, legal disputes, staffing vacancies and verification requirements? If no assessment has been conducted or verified, why not, and by when will it be done?
7. Which projects are stalled or not yet registered? What is preventing implementation, and by what date will each obstacle be resolved? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and by when will it be done?
8. How will the Department ensure that Matjhabeng has suitably qualified engineers, technicians, project managers and maintenance personnel to operate and maintain the infrastructure after repairs are completed? If not, why not?
9. By December 2025, Matjhabeng had spent 47% of its 2025/26 MIG allocation. What action is being taken to prevent underspending, missed deadlines and the loss of funding for water-related projects? If none, why not?
10. How is the cost-reimbursement model, under which MIG funds are released only after invoices are verified, affecting project cash flow and delivery? What support will reduce these delays? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and by when will it be done?
11. What is the Department doing to resolve the municipality's bulk-water debt and the disputed Vaal Central Water account, including the impact of retrospective interest and unreliable meter data? If no intervention is underway, why not?
12. By when will all bulk meters be repaired, replaced, or independently calibrated, and what interim verification system will ensure that Matjhabeng is billed only for accurately measured water? If none, why not?
13. With water revenue collection substantially below billing levels, what measures will improve collection, protect indigent households, and generate sufficient revenue for maintenance and bulk-water payments? If none, why not?
14. What contingency arrangements are in place for communities facing prolonged interruptions, including water tankers, public communications, repair response times, and support for schools, clinics and vulnerable areas? If none, why not?
15. What is the Department's realistic, publicly accountable timetable for restoring a reliable water supply across Matjhabeng? Which milestones will be published, and who will be responsible if these dates are missed? If no timetable has been established, why not, and by when will it be up and running?

375.Hon. D McKay (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for COGTA&HS: Mr. T Mokoena.

Regarding the updating of the indigent register in the Maluti-a-Phofung Municipality:
1. What specific operational and administrative challenges are preventing the Maluti-a-Phofung Indigent Register from being finalised, and what is the current projected date for finalisation? If there is no projected date, why not?
2. How many capturers are currently available, how many are required, and why was this capacity gap not addressed sooner? If no assessment has been conducted or verified, why not, and by when will it be completed?
3. Why has processing taken this long, and which stages of verification, capture or approval remain outstanding? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and when will it be completed?
4. What percentage and number of indigent-register applications have been captured, verified, approved, rejected, or are still pending? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and by when will it be completed?
5. What measures are being taken to prevent qualifying low-income households from losing access to assistance with basic services while the register remains incomplete? If none, why not?
6. Has the municipality received equitable-share funding intended to support basic services for low-income households during this period? If not, why not? If so, how has that funding been used while beneficiaries await finalisation of the register?
7. What immediate resources, staff support, or oversight will the Department provide to resolve the backlog, including temporary data capturers or technical assistance? If none, why not?
8. What accountability measures will be applied to officials responsible for the delay, and will the municipality be required to submit regular progress reports? If no measures are in place, why not?
9. How will the Department ensure that the final register is accurate, transparent and accessible to all qualifying households, including those whose applications may have been delayed or incorrectly excluded? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and when will it be completed?
10. What is the confirmed date by which the Indigent Register will be finalised, and when will approved households begin receiving the intended assistance? If there is no confirmed date, why not?
11. If the register cannot be completed by that date, what interim relief plan will be put in place for households facing financial hardship? If none, why not?
12. How will ward councillors and communities be informed of progress and of the process for checking application outcomes or lodging corrections? If no communication channel has been set-up, why not?

376.Hon. D McKay (DA) asks the Hon. MEC responsible for COGTA&HS: Mr. T Mokoena.

Regarding the approval, consultation and compliance of an alleged illicit business, Tahiko Couples Corner in Fouriesburg, Dihlabeng Local Municipality:
1. What is the current zoning of the property in Fouriesburg, Dihlabeng, and which land uses are permitted under that zoning? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and when will it be completed?
2. Does the proposed establishment require rezoning, consent use, special consent, a land-use departure, or any other approval under the applicable spatial-planning scheme? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and when will it be completed?
3. If an application was required, was it submitted, advertised, assessed and approved in accordance with the applicable planning legislation and municipal land-use procedures? If not, why not?
4. Please provide the application reference number, decision date, decision-maker, and written reasons for approval or refusal. If none can be provided, why not?
5. What conditions apply to any planning approval, including operating hours, parking, security, noise control, the number of rooms, and the protection of neighbouring residential properties? If none, why not?
6. Has the Municipality confirmed that the proposed use is compatible with the character, safety and amenity of the surrounding suburban neighbourhood? If no assessment has been conducted or verified, why not, and when will it be completed?
7. Was a public participation process undertaken before any rezoning, consent-use or related approval was granted? If not, why not?
8. How were neighbouring property owners, residents, ward representatives and other affected parties notified of the application? On which dates were notices published or displayed, and what period was allowed for

objections or comments? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and when will it be completed?

9. How many objections, comments and representations were received? What were the principal concerns raised, and how were they considered and addressed in the final decision? Was any appeal lodged against the decision, and if so, has it been finally resolved?

10. Which municipal by-laws relating to accommodation, business, health, nuisance, noise, safety, and related matters apply to this establishment, and has the operator applied for and received all required accommodation, lodging-house, guest-house, business, or operating licences? If not, how is he allowed to operate?

11. Please confirm the licence numbers, issue and expiry dates, approved capacity, and conditions for each licence. If any cannot be supplied, please explain why.

12. Have the premises been inspected for compliance with sanitation, waste removal, fire safety, emergency exits, ventilation, hygiene, security and occupancy requirements? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and when will it be completed?

13. Are there any outstanding compliance notices, contraventions, pending investigations, or enforcement actions relating to the property or the operator? If no assessment has been verified or conducted, why not, and when will it be completed?

14. Were building plans submitted and approved for the construction, conversion or use of the rooms proposed for accommodation or business purposes? If not, why was building allowed to continue?

15. Do the approved plans match the rooms and facilities currently on the property, including the number of rooms, bathrooms, entrances, car parks and emergency exits? Has the Municipality verified that the property complies with building regulations, occupancy limits, accessibility requirements and safety standards? If no assessment has been conducted or verified, why not, and when will it be completed?

Due date: 04 December 2026